

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,496	04/25/2001	Mark Ashby	032005-092	9267
7:	590 05/07/2003			
ROBERT E. KREBS			EXAMINER	
P,O. BOX 640			DRUAN, TI	HOMAS J
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95164-0640		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/843,496	ASHBY ET AL.	Cw
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Thomas J. Druan, Jr.	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commander. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on		
, <u> </u>	D)☐ This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition f	or allowance except for formal matt		merits is
closed in accordance with the practic Disposition of Claims	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement		
Application Papers	rand/or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the B	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed of		• •	
If approved, corrected drawings are requ		,	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	3 1	- (-) (-) (-)	٠
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.		
	ocuments have been received in Ap	plication No.	
	the priority documents have been r		ane
	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ugo
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional a	oplication).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for 	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Attachment(s)	, . , ,	,,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	0-948) 5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). formal Patent Application (PTO-1	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8	

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-17, drawn to a cutter, card, and foam, classified in class 604, subclass 11.
 - II. Claims 18-20 and 25-26, drawn to a cutter and foam, classified in class 604, subclass 369.
 - III. Claims 21-22, drawn to a cutter and card, classified in class 606, subclass 174.
 - IV. Claims 23-24, drawn to a method of cutting foam, classified in class 83, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of group II and group III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the foam of group II could be employed without the card of group II, and conversely, the card of group III could be employed without the foam of group II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions of group I and groups II & III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if there is evidence that the combination does not rely on the subcombination for patentability. See MPEP 806.05 (c), example 3. Group II is evidence that the combination of group I does not rely on the

Art Unit: 3724

card of group IIII for patentability, and conversely, Group III is evidence that the combination of group I does not rely on the foam of group II for patentability.

- 4. Inventions I-III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as one that uses an edge of an opening as an anvil surface for cutting foam as opposed to a cutting edge for shearing the foam.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A as in Figure 4;

Species B as in Figure 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, some claims may be generic.

Art Unit: 3724

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 3724

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Druan, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-308-4200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

4904 tjd

April 30, 2003

Boyer ashley Primary Eyaminer

Page 5